

REMARKS

This is in response to the office action dated August 1, 2006 in which examiner indicated some claims were rejected and others were objected to as being potentially allowable if re-written.

Please amend the claims as shown in the enclosed Amendment of Claims listing.


Independent claim was rejected. Applicants are hereby cancelling the claim to close prosecution. For record purposes, applicant continues to maintain that the prior art cited does not describe the second moment, since to have such moment, due to interaction of Anderson shaft 49 with body 53, one has to assume there is not a common anti-friction bearing contrary to what is expected within the ordinary skill.

Applicants have amended the objected-to claims 27-30 and 32, 39 and 45 so they embrace the matter of the base claim and intervening claims.

Applicants have cancelled the withdrawn claims.

Applicants now have five independent claims. Applicants paid the fee for five independent claims and 19 total claims when they filed their US application in October 1997, as the record should show. Thus, no further fee is believed payable, but if it is please charge deposit account 014 0711 in the name of undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,
ROMAN GOLICZ et al.

By 
Their Attorney

Charles G. Nessler
Box H
Chester, CT 06412 (860) 526 9149 fax (860) 526 1043